

working of the Municipal Magistrate as
Guidelines 21/2

Continuation Sheet




Ne

File No
Current No

116

of 12-1-43 Page.

From the District Magistrate
Letter No D3-C 3964/42-2 of 26.6.1943
requests sanction to lease out the rights
to the Municipal Dols at Sumbur
from Municipality during the year 1943-44
1. A of 50 sanctioning the proposal is
submitted to you



16/7/43
7/7/43

2 may be off

Law Secy) for 27/7/43
3 may be approved

Under 2.59

16/7/43

19/7/43

14/7/43

15/7/43

8/11/43 L 979-80 of 23/7/43 re: Denial of sanction
Sanction the proposal

B

20/7

Continuation Sheet

File No
Current No

of

Page

116-42-117

21/L 2

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT

No D3-C.3964 of 42-43.

Encl one

Dated, Mysore, the

June 26,

1943.

FROM

C.M.H. RANAJODHA SINGH, Esq., B.A.,

~~Secy to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.~~

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

C. NARAYANASWAMI NAIDU, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Law Department, Bangalore

SIR,

Working of the Municipal Toll Gate at
Gundlupet.

I have the honour to invite kind reference to Government Order No.L.11370-1/MI.115-41-8 dated the 29th May 1942, according sanction for the leasing out on contract the right to collect Municipal Tolls in the Gundlupet Town Municipality during the year 1942-43 and to state that the President of the Municipality in his letter No.C.139 of 42-43 dated the 25th June 1943, herein enclosed reports that the Municipal Council have resolved that the right of contract may be leased out during the year 1943-44 also. It is true that the general rule is that the Municipality should arrange to collect toll departmentally. But there are several practical difficulties in the way and they are fully explained in this office letter No.D3-C.4349 of 40-41 dated the 16th June 1941 a copy of which is herein enclosed* for ready reference. The system of collecting toll departmentally does not work satisfactorily unless there is a responsible officer always at Head quarters to supervise the collection of toll. In the absence of such an officer there is bound to be serious leakage of revenue and consequent loss to the Municipality.

The President of the Municipality expects that a sum of not less than Rs.300/- per month, may be realised under Toll Revenue during the year 1943-44, as against a sum of Rs. 335/- per month realised during 1942-43, even in these days of food control.

I recommend that the proposal of the Gundlupet Town Municipal Council to lease out the right of collecting tolls on contract during the year 1943-44 may be sanctioned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

*Overleaf.

YBR:

LETTER NO.D3-4349 of 40-41, dated the 16th June 1941,
from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dist-
rict, to the Secretary to Government of
Mysore, Law Department, Bangalore, Camp.
Mysore.

Working of Municipal~~l~~ Toll Gates in Gun-
dlupet Town Municipality.

..

With reference to Government Order No.L.4947-8/Ml.
23-40-41 dated the 31st December 1940, according sanction to
the Resolution of the Gundlupet Town Municipal Council to lease
out the right of collecting toll for a period of six months
from the 1st January 1941, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. As reported in my letter No.D3-1179 of 39-40,
dated the 25th December 1940, the question of working the toll
by departmental agency was examined last month in the light of
the experience gained so far. The accounts maintained by
the Contractor were verified by the President. It was reveal-
ed that the average monthly receipts ranged from Rs.300/-
to Rs.350/- and the expenditure was about Rs.100/- per month.
The actual income to the Contractor was therefore, Rs.250/-
per mensem. At their meeting held on the 15th May 1941,
the Municipal Council discussed the question of working the toll
departmentally and finally resolved to lease the right of col-
lecting toll for 1941-42 in the circumstances explained in
their Resolution. Further action was taken by the President
to conduct the sale. In the sale held on the 5th June 1941,
the highest bid received was Rs.324/- per month from one Mr.
Vazeer Khan against a rental of Rs.200/- secured during the cur-
rent year. In accordance with the terms of the sale, the
Contractor has deposited two months' knist amount in advance.
The sale has been confirmed by the Municipal Council at their
meeting held on the 6th June 1941, subject to the approval of
Government to lease out the toll on contract.

3. The President, in supporting the resolution of
the Municipal Council, has reported that the lease of the toll
is financially more advantageous to the Municipality as it
yields a net revenue of Rs.324/- per mensem. I agree with him
that it is unlikely that the Municipality will derive so much
income by working the toll (Aman) having regard to the fact
that the cost of toll establishment and other incidental expen-
ses will have to be deducted from the gross earnings in order
to arrive at the net income.

4. Gundlupet Town Municipality has no Chief Of-
ficer. There is only a Sanitary Inspector. The Amildar, who
is the Ex-officio President is an Executive Officer, who will
be absent from Head Quarters for about twenty days in a month.
He cannot closely supervise the collection work. The new Muni-
cipal Council will come into existence with effect from the
1st July 1941. It is understood that there are factions among
the Municipal Councillors. The predominant party will get its
nominee elected as the Vice President of the Municipality. The
party in a minority is sure to make allegations of leakage and
mismanagement of the toll if worked departmentally. I am, there-
fore, of opinion, that the Resolution of the Municipal Council
to lease the right of collecting toll by contract may kindly be
approved and the bid amount of Rs.324/- secured at the sale held
on the 5th June 1941 be ordered to be accepted.

5. As the charge of the Toll Gates will have to be
handed over to the new Contractor with effect from the 1st July
1941, I request the favour of immediate orders in the matter.

=====

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 19

Date of issue

23/7 19

Signed by

W. G. Roberts

May this be—

- (i) printed?
- (ii) treated as a standing order?
- (iii) published in the Gazette English Part?
- (iv) translated?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the Press?
- (vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

Section

File No

Serial No

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

11370-1 Muml

G.O. No. 11370-1 Muml
115-41-8 & 29 1 42
94.40 109 20 6. 1941, according
Sanction to the Continuance of
The system of leasing out
as Contract the right to
Collect tolls in the Gundlupet
Town Municipality during The
Order thereon—No
year 1942-43

2 / G.O. No. 11370-1 Muml
115-41-8 & 29.5 1942, sanction
ing The above system during
The year 1942-43

3. L.R. No. 23 3964
42.22, 26 6. 1943, from The

D.C. Myre or de gusting, in the circumstances
explained, sanction to ~~the continuance of the~~
~~rights to lease~~ ^{with right of} the ^{on contract} collecting of dues in the
grudges down Municipal during the year
1943-44

Order no. 379-80 d. 23/7

Sanctioned

W. J.

for Law Secy
7/5

To the D.C. Myre (W/R) ✓
Comptroller to Govt ✓

List of Enclosures

Copied by
Examined by

